Pupil premium summary, 2019-20



At Sackville we have always believed that 'every child matters'; we judge ourselves on how well we do for each of our students. At least 99% of our students have achieved 5 or more qualifications for the last five years. **We have had no permanent exclusions since 2014**, and a consistently low number of fixed term exclusions (including disadvantaged students) both of which are **well below the national average**.

The interventions used for our disadvantaged students are listed in 3.

1 Performance data

		2012 (38, 38) ¹	2013 (27, 26)¹	2014 (28, 27)¹	2015 (36, 29)¹	2016 (37, 35)¹	2017 (33, 31) ¹	2018 (37, 36)¹	2019 (30, 27)¹	2020 (37, 36)¹
5A*-C including En and Ma	Disadvantaged Other	24% 49%	22% 68%	30% 61%	51% 75%					des and ningful
En/Ma C+/5+	Disadvantaged Other					44% 71%	27% 45%	14% 47%	35% 43%	mixture of centre assessed grades and culated grades, and so no meaningful with previous years is possible
APS capped	Disadvantaged Other	259 341	281 344	258 324	303 351					s received a mixture of centre as hmically calculated grades, and s comparison with previous years
Best 8 VA/P8	Disadvantaged Other	924 988	954 996	986 1017	1015 1030	-0.15 0.44	0.00 0.11	-0.45 0.56	-0.24 0.34	a mixture c alculated gr n with prev
English VA/P8	Disadvantaged Other	995 998	998 1001	1000 1002	1001 1003	-0.09 0.32	-0.12 -0.06	-0.55 0.37	-0.3 0.2	Students received a mixture of centre a algorithmically calculated grades, and comparison with previous years
Maths VA/P8	Disadvantaged Other	996 1001	998 1001	998 1001	1000 1002	0.08 0.43	0.14 0.26	-0.50 0.61	0.1 0.3	Student

1.1 2019 SSAT comparable data – the most recent year with validated data

From 2019, The SSAT compares our disadvantaged gap with other schools' disadvantaged gaps. In 2019, our gap was significantly better than in other schools for

- Overall progress 8
- Progress 8 (maths)
- Attainment 8
- Ebacc average point score
- Students getting 9-5 in English and maths

2 Sackville allocation

Financial Year	Academic Year	Allocation	
2019-2020		£147,730	
2020-2021		£137,520	
	2020-2021 ²	£143,476	

¹ Number in cohort, number with KS2 scores

 $^{^2}$ 7/12 of 2019-2020 allocation and 5/12 of 2020-2021 allocation Sackville School Pupil Premium Analysis 2019-2020, Page 1

3 Costed interventions for pupil premium students, 2019-20

Intervention	Cost ³	Cost explanation	Rationale	Impact (from recent years)
Pupil Premium Steering Group	£2500	☐ Imputed cost of staff attendance at meetings	☐ Half-termly meeting of group including Headteacher, Inclusion Manager and link governor to review interventions and set priorities	High: This group is responsible for the review of all work with PP students from year to year
Minibus for transporting disadvantaged students to school when necessary	£1200	Imputed depreciation and staff cost	☐ Some more distant PP students could not come in if they missed the school bus. Attendance improved both to class and exams	High: Attendance and attainment increased as a result
Licences for MyMaths, Hegarty Maths and Method Maths for use during registration Staffing for morning maths revision sessions	£4000	20% of overall cost is for PP students	☐ Morning registration revision sessions Targetted at disadvantaged students	High: 2019 maths: Disadvantaged students' Progress 8 score was 0.21. 2020: gains were reported at the disadvantaged morning sessions
Additional English and maths sets in years 9-11	£25000	☐ 25% of overall cost of provision is for PP students.	 □ Allows for smaller class sizes and improved teacher: student ratios. PP students receive more teacher input as a result □ Smaller class sizes in sets 3-6 in each band, aiding the achievement of L3 and L4 students 	High: 2019 English: Disadvantaged students' Progress 8 score was - 0.03 2019 maths: Disadvantaged students' Progress 8 score was 0.21. 2020: Disadvantaged students' progress was helped by small group setting
1:1 tuition in English and maths	£60000	□ 20% of overall cost	 Students are selected for being below target in either subject, often as a result of discussion at raising attainment meetings PP students are given priority, benefitting all ability groups, including GAMA 	High: 20% of English 1:1 students were PP 23% of maths 1:1 students were PP English PP student responses compared to non-PP Enjoyment Confidence +0.24 +0.31

³ To nearest £100

Intervention	Cost ³	Cost explanation	Rationale	Impact (from recent years)
				Maths PP student responses compared to non-PP Enjoyment Confidence +0.01 +0.13 English PP parent responses compared to non-PP Enjoyment Confidence +0.10 +0.03 Maths PP parent responses compared to non-PP Enjoyment Confidence +0.10 +0.01
Financial support – trips, clubs, food technology ingredients, school uniform, PE kit Curriculum enhancement week support Log of disadvantaged students' participation in extra-curricular activities kept	£25000	 Partial or full payment of trip monies Support for students for outdoor and adventurous activities 	 □ To ensure that disadvantaged students have the same opportunities as other students □ Allows all students to take part fully in curriculum enhancement week 	High: Observed increase in PP students attending trips and being able to participate in a full curriculum who would not have done otherwise.
Financial support – books and revision guides	£3000	☐ 31 PP students were given textbooks, calculators, revision guides and a theatre workshop, GCSE PE assessment, ingredients for catering GCSE	☐ Often without this, such students do not access the revision materials	Medium: Disadvantaged P8 score 0.04 in 2019. In 2020 all year 11 disadvantaged students got free revision resources for every GCSE
Paid music provision	£1200	☐ Full or partial cost of music lessons provide by WS Music Service	☐ This allows students who would not otherwise be able to learn an instrument to do so	High: Without the support from the PP grant the students currently learning instruments would not be.
LSA support	£27500	A proportion of the overall costs allocated on the basis of the number of PP students supported	☐ LSAs are aware of the students receiving PP and catch-up funding and focus on supporting them in lessons	High: Disadvantaged P8 score 0.04 in 2019. Regular morning catch up sessions with PP students is having a demonstrable impact

Intervention	Cost ³	Cost explanation	Rationale	Impact (from recent years)
				in their progress through the levels.
Year Leader and Year Assistant support	£49200	□ 16% - 20% year leader and PSA support	 □ PSAs work with all members of their year groups, but focus carefully on disadvantaged students to ensure their needs are met □ PIMs (pastoral intervention meetings) new in 15/16; PSAs attend these, and PP students can be more than proportionately represented 	Medium: The 1:1 support that students receive, sometimes, on a daily has noticeable improvement on their overall wellbeing.
Support for disadvantaged students during lockdown	£300	☐ Proportion of cost allocated to PP students	 PP students were specifically targeted for phone support and in school learning sessions This did not apply to year 11 of course 	High: Disadvantaged P8 score 0.04 in 2019. Disadvantaged attendance targetted in 2020 leading to a reported increase in exam-readiness
Counsellor	£4800	☐ 20% of overall cost	☐ Counsellor sees approximately 60 students per year, last year 17% were PP	High: 80% of disadvantaged students reported that their emotional wellbeing and self-esteem improved as a result of counselling.
Learning mentor	£4100	☐ 20% of overall cost	Our learning mentor is situated in the BASE, and over one third of the students they work with are PP.	High: Students complete entrance and exit surveys relating to happiness in school, at home and with their social group. Non-PP students' exit scores were +2.2 and PP students were +4.8.
BASE running costs	£100	40% of the running costs in paper, printing and resources	 □ The BASE is a very successful resource for vulnerable students; approximately 40% of these are PP □ Students attend during social times in an environment where they can have support and structure to their interactions with others. 	High: Qualitative data regarding their overall wellbeing and social ease show many disadvantaged students were much better prepared for exams as a result
Education welfare officer & Attendance officer	£10300	☐ 20% of overall cost	☐ Targeted support from EWO on PP students which includes attendance club	High:

Intervention	Cost ³	Cost explanation	Rationale	Impact (from recent years)
			 PP attendance is often the biggest barrier to attainment Attendance officer prioritises calls to PP students 	Disadvantaged student attendance has improved greatly from 87.5% in 2012/2013 to 91.2% in 2019-2020.
Inclusion manager	£19900	☐ 40% of overall cost	 Inclusion manager employed to work specifically with PP students Focuses whole school on closing the gap and improving extra-curricular opportunity for disadvantaged students 	High: 2015 to 2020 data showed demonstrable narrowing of gap.
SENDCo & LINK PA	£16400	☐ 40% of overall cost	 PP students are always prioritised when assessing, planning, and evaluating impact of provisions and support. Higher percentage of students with SEND & are PP than non-SEND and non-PP. 	High: Disadvantaged P8 score 0.04 in 2019. Parental feedback extremely positive in 2020.
HLTA	£8160	20% of overall cost		
Provision of student breakfast on exam day	£200	Proportion of cost allocated for exam breakfasts	 Allows students to be properly prepared to concentrate on exams – PP students often skip breakfast. 	High: PP attendance at exams in 2015-2019 99%
Miscellaneous financial support: e.g. photocopying, new overlays, lockers, replacement revision guides	£500	☐ Total cost	☐ Ensure disadvantaged students have the same access to the curriculum as others	Mixed: Qualitative data due to nature of provision. Needless to say students would not have been able to get the bus, have their homework printed out if we hadn't offered this support.
Foreign Language Assistant group work	£300	☐ Total cost	 □ Students are selected for being below target in their MFL and completed weekly after school sessions for a half term each □ Impact is more amongst L4 and GAMA disadvantaged students as more of these choose languages 	High: Two year 11 students involved. One was predicted an E prior to the intervention and gained and D. The other gained a D prior to the intervention and attained a B in the summer exam. Overall, an improvement of three grades.
Total	£263660.00			