





					<u> </u>
Focus Area (Cost)	Intent	Implementation	Impact		Evaluation
1. Staffing £188,600	In order to narrow the attainment gap. To improve the quality of teaching for students and that we get the grant for.  To provide additional support for the emotional wellbeing and pastoral care for students we get the grant for.	This could be through individual intervention, smaller teaching classes or differentiation.  Some the ways are detailed below:	P8 overall Non-PP P8 = +0.292 PP grant P8 = -0.108 Gap = 0.384  English Non-PP P8 = +0.163 PP grant P8 = -0.080 Gap = 0.243  Maths Non-PP P8 = +0.309 PP grant P8 = +0.079 Gap = 0.23		Whilst we cannot tell what the gap would have been without the interventions, there is still a gap. The impact has not been as positive as we would have liked.  For 2022-23 - review Quality first Teaching for students we get the PP grant for.
2. Intervention £100,300	In order to narrow the attainment gap. To provide bespoke support for students we get the grant for.	This is usually through individual intervention. Some the ways are detailed below:	- 0.23		
		1:1 and small group tuition in English and maths	English student responses for those we get the PP grant for compared to those we don't		The impact on students we received the PP grant for is positive on these qualitative judgements.
			Enjoyment	Confidence	For 2022-23 - explore whether it
			+0.05	+0.11	would be possible to capture quantitative data before and
			Reading frequency		after the intervention.
			+0.36		
			Maths stude	ent responses	

	for those we get the PP grant for compared to those we don't		
	Enjoyment	Confidence	
	+0.07	+0.69	
• Learning Mentor	33% of stude 1:1 LM sessio we receive th	ns were those	There is currently no specific method of measuring the impact of The BASE's impact.  For 2022-23 - create qualitative and quantitative methods to
BASE running costs	Approximatel students accee either throug timetable or a lesson.	ess The BASE h a reduced	whilst we cannot tell what the gap would have been without the interventions, there is still a gap. The impact has not been as positive as we would have liked.
Education welfare officer & Attendance officer	Attendance The gap in att figures for 20  Persistent ab The gap in pe absence for 2 16.9%.	21 - 22 is 4.5% sence rsistent	For 2022-23 - review the monitoring of attendance for students we get the PP grant for.

		The Alternative Provision Room (APR)	Still no permanent exclusions of any student since 2014.	It is positive that students are able to continue their studies and complete their education at Sackville.  For 2022-23 - review the provision and ensure it is still fit for purpose. For 2022 - 23 - create qualitative and quantitative methods to measure impact
3. Financial Support – curriculum (£5,010)	To make the whole school curriculum accessible for all students. To remove any potential barriers to learning as a result of financial deprivation and ensuring students we get the PP grant for are fully equipped and ready to learn/revise.	Miscellaneous financial support: e.g. photocopying, new overlays, replacement revision guides	Materials provided	Students able to access curriculum e.g. Art  For 2022-23 - review the effectiveness of this funding
4. Financial Support – extra-curricular (£41,750)	To ensure that students can access the whole curriculum in ways that their financial deprivation may not ordinarily allow them to.	Some of the ways we support are:  • Paid peripatetic music lessons	BTEC Music Results Non-PP P8 = +0.51 PP grant P8 = -0.55 Gap = -1.06	The impact of this provision was not as high as anticipated.  For 2022-23 - review Quality first Teaching for students we get the PP grant for.
		<ul> <li>Use of the PP grant to pay for the whole cost of an educational visit when it is £40 or under. A contribution when above.</li> </ul>	Educational visits  100% of students we get the PP grant for attended:  - Year 10 geography field trip  - Year 11 geography field trip  - Year 10 Seaford art trip	The impact of the financial support provided by the PP grant has been high.  For 2022-23 - continue to expand opportunities for students we get the grant for.

	T	I
	90%+ of students we get	
	the PP grant for attended:	
	- Year 7 CEW Seaford trip	
	- Year 10 CEW trip to	
	Thorpe Park	
Other extra-curricular events		The impact of the financial
	We have used the grant to	support provided by the PP
	support students to	grant has been difficult to
	participate in a broader	measure
	curriculum than they may	
	otherwise have had access	For 2022-23 - create a method
	to. Some of these are; Duke	of tracking participation to
	of Edinburgh Award, the	enable review to be made.
	school show.	chable review to be made.
	School show.	
Payment for all food technology		The impact of this is high as no
ingredients and KS3 D&T contribution	All students could make the	student has a reason to not be
ingredients and 1.55 bet contribution	projects and cook the	able to participate in practical
	dishes.	lessons and have a finished
	distres.	product.
		product.
Art supplies at KS4		For 2022-23 - review Quality
- Altoupplies de No	GCSE Art Results	first Teaching for students we
	Non-PP P8 = +0.85	get the PP grant for.
	PP grant P8 = +0.64	get the 11 grant joi.
	Gap = 0.19	
Graduated response contributions	Cap - 0.13	The impact of this is high as no
towards school uniform, PE kit and/or		student has a reason to not be
·		
/dance/PA/drama uniform		able to participate in practical
		lessons and have a finished
		product.

The impact and evaluation of strategies have been used to form the basis of the 2022/23 PP Development Plan.